arXiv:1610.09208v1 [math.NT] 28 Oct 2016

ON BINARY CUBIC AND QUARTIC FORMS

STANLEY YAO XIAO

Abstract. In this paper we give precise asymptotic formulae for the number of integers in the interval $[-Z, Z]$ which may be represented by a cubic or quartic binary form with integer coefficients. This extends work of Hooley. Further, we will give constructions for the field of definition of lines contained in certain cubic and quartic surfaces related to binary cubic and quartic forms.

1. Introduction

Let F be a binary form with integer coefficients, non-zero discriminant $\Delta(F)$, and degree d at least 3. Let $R_F(Z)$ denote the number of integers h with $|h| \leq Z$ for which the Thue equation

$$F(x, y) = h \tag{1.1}$$

has a solution in integers x and y. It is an old conjecture that for each such F there exists a positive number C_F for which the asymptotic formula

$$R_F(Z) \sim C_F Z^{\frac{2}{d}} \tag{1.2}$$

holds. Together with C. L. Stewart, we proved that this conjecture is true in [35].

One of the principal features of [35] is that we showed the constant C_F is a rational multiple of A_F, where

$$A_F = \mu(\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |F(x, y)| \leq 1\}). \tag{1.3}$$

Here μ denotes the area of a region in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 \\ t_3 & t_4 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}). \tag{1.4}$$

Then T acts on F via substitution, namely $F_T(x, y) = F(t_1x + t_2y, t_3x + t_4y)$. Let $\mathrm{Aut}\, F \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ be the subgroup consisting of matrices $T \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $F = F_T$. This group $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$ is called the *automorphism group* of F. More generally, for any subring $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{C}$ we write $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}\, F$ to be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ consisting of $T \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ such that $F = F_T$. We shall call $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}\, F$ the $\mathbb{F}$-automorphism group of F.

In [35] we showed that the number C_F in (1.2) is given by $C_F = W_F A_F$, where W_F is a positive rational number which depends only on $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$. However, our methods in [35] do not in general allow us to determine $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$ from the coefficients of F. This can be quite difficult. The main goal of this paper is to show that when $d = 3, 4$ one can determine $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$

Date: October 31, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11N37, Secondary 11N36, 11D59.

Key words and phrases. determinant method, representation of integers by binary forms, Thue-Mahler inequality.

explicitly in terms of the coefficients of F.

We recall from Table 1 of [35] that there are exactly 10 finite subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ up to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$-conjugation. For completeness, we include Table 1 from [35] again below:

Table 1			
Group	Generators	Group	Generators
$\mathbf{C}_1$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\mathbf{D}_1$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathbf{C}_2$	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\mathbf{D}_2$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathbf{C}_3$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\mathbf{D}_3$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathbf{C}_4$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\mathbf{D}_4$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathbf{C}_6$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\mathbf{D}_6$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

It will be a consequence of Theorems 1.1 and 1.4 that no element in the conjugacy classes corresponding to $\mathbf{C}_6$ and $\mathbf{D}_6$ can be equal to $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$ when F is a binary cubic or quartic form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant. Thus, we shall not discuss the conjugacy classes of $\mathbf{C}_6$ and $\mathbf{D}_6$ further. We shall denote the cyclic group of order n by $\mathcal{C}_n$ and the dihedral group of order $2n$ by $\mathcal{D}_n$. Observe that $\mathbf{C}_n \cong \mathcal{C}_n$ and $\mathbf{D}_n \cong \mathcal{D}_n$ for each $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$.

Hooley, in [20], [21], and [23], showed that one can determine $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$ explicitly for irreducible binary cubic forms and certain binary quartic forms. Let

$$F(x, y) = b_3x^3 + b_2x^2y + b_1xy^2 + b_0y^3$$

be an irreducible binary cubic form with integer coefficients and discriminant $\Delta(F)$. Put

$$A = b_2^2 - 3b_3b_1, B = b_2b_1 - 9b_3b_0, C = b_1^2 - 3b_2b_0 \text{ and } D = B^2 - 4AC. \tag{1.5}$$

Note that $D = -3\Delta(F)$. Put

$$q_F(x) = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2 \tag{1.6}$$

for the *Hessian covariant* of F. Consider the matrix

$$\mathcal{U}_{q_F} = \frac{1}{2D}\begin{pmatrix} B\sqrt{-3D} - D & 2C\sqrt{-3D} \\ -2A\sqrt{-3D} & -B\sqrt{-3D} - D \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.7}$$

which we call the *Hooley matrix* of F. Hooley proved in [20] and [23] that

$$\mathrm{Aut}\, F = \begin{cases} \mathbf{C}_1 & \text{if } \Delta(F) \text{ is not a square;} \\ \{I_{2\times 2}, \mathcal{U}_{q_F}, \mathcal{U}_{q_F}^2\} & \text{if } \Delta(F) \text{ is a square.} \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

In addition to explicitly determining $\operatorname{Aut} F$, Hooley also obtained explicit values for A_F in his two papers. He proved

$$|\Delta(F)|^{\frac{1}{6}} A_F = \begin{cases} \dfrac{3\Gamma(1/3)^2}{\Gamma(2/3)} & \text{if } \Delta(F) > 0, \\ \\ \dfrac{\sqrt{3}\Gamma(1/3)^2}{\Gamma(2/3)} & \text{if } \Delta(F) < 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.9}$$

Here Γ refers to the gamma function. If $\Delta(F)$ is a square, put

$$m = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta(F)}}{\gcd(A, B, C)} \tag{1.10}$$

where A, B, C are as in (1.5). Then in [20] and [23] Hooley proved that there exists a positive number γ such that

$$R_F(Z) = \begin{cases} A_F Z^{\frac{2}{3}} + O\left(Z^{\frac{2}{3}} (\log\log Z)^{-\gamma}\right) & \text{if } \Delta(F) \text{ is not a square}, \\ \\ \left(1 - \dfrac{2}{3m}\right) A_F Z^{\frac{2}{3}} + O\left(Z^{\frac{2}{3}} (\log Z)^{-\gamma}\right) & \text{if } \Delta(F) \text{ is a square}. \end{cases} \tag{1.11}$$

In [21] Hooley considered bi-quadratic binary quartic forms of the shape

$$F(x, y) = a_4 x^4 + a_2 x^2 y^2 + a_0 y^4, a_4 a_0 \neq 0.$$

If $\dfrac{a_4}{a_0} = \dfrac{A^4}{C^4}$ for non-zero integers A, C, put

$$\mathcal{G}_F^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C/A \\ A/C & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{G}_F^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C/A \\ -A/C & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hooley proved in [21] that

$$\operatorname{Aut} F = \begin{cases} \mathbf{D}_2 & \text{if } a_4/a_0 \text{ is not the 4-th power of a rational}, \\ \left\langle \mathcal{G}_F^{(1)}, \mathcal{G}_F^{(2)} \right\rangle & \text{if } a_4/a_0 = A^4/C^4 \text{ for co-prime } A, C \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \tag{1.12}$$

Using (1.12), Hooley showed that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$R_F(Z) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{A_F}{4} Z^{\frac{1}{2}} + O_{F,\varepsilon}\left(Z^{\frac{18}{37}+\varepsilon}\right) & \text{if } a_4/a_0 \text{ is not the 4-th power of a rational}, \\ \\ \dfrac{A_F}{4}\left(1 - \dfrac{1}{2AC}\right) Z^{\frac{1}{2}} + O_{F,\varepsilon}\left(Z^{\frac{18}{37}+\varepsilon}\right) & \text{if } a_4/a_0 = A^4/C^4 \text{ for co-prime } A, C \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \tag{1.13}$$

In the present paper we improve upon Hooley's work in [20] and [23] when $d = 3$ by addressing the case when F is reducible. For quartic forms, we will determine $\operatorname{Aut} F$ in all possible cases, generalizing Hooley's result in [21]. This will allow us to deduce Theorems 1.3 and 1.6, which give the explicit value of the number C_F which appears in Theorem 1.1 in [35] (i.e., the quantity that appears in (1.2)) and generalize Hooley's main theorems in [20], [22], and [23].

A key observation in this paper is that any element in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F$ not a multiple of the identity by a root of unity naturally corresponds to a quadratic form associated to F. In general, we can associate binary quadratic forms with elements in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ in two ways, which we shall discuss now. Let $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ be a binary quadratic form with integer coefficients. Define the matrix $\mathcal{M}_f$ by

$$\mathcal{M}_f = \begin{pmatrix} b & 2c \\ -2a & -b \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.14}$$

Observe that the map $f \mapsto \mathcal{M}_f$ is a bijection between the set of binary quadratic forms with complex coefficients and the set of 2×2 complex matrices.

We shall define the square root function on the complex numbers as follows. For a complex number z which is not a negative real number, define its *principal square root* to be the complex number z' with positive real part such that $(z')^2 = z$. Then define the square root $\sqrt{z}$ of z to be its principal square root. This function is well defined except for negative real numbers. We then require $\sqrt{-r} = i\sqrt{r}$, where i is the imaginary unit in the upper half-plane and r is a positive real number.

Consider $f(x, y)$ as before. Define the matrix $\mathcal{U}_f^\dagger$ by

$$\mathcal{U}_f^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} b\sqrt{-3\Delta(f)} - \Delta(f) & 2c\sqrt{-3\Delta(f)} \\ -2a\sqrt{-3\Delta(F)} & -b\sqrt{-3\Delta(f)} - \Delta(f) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.15}$$

Again, the map $f \mapsto \mathcal{U}_f^\dagger$ is a bijection. We shall define $\mathcal{U}_f$ to be the normalized matrix

$$\mathcal{U}_f = \frac{1}{2\Delta(f)} \mathcal{U}_f^\dagger. \tag{1.16}$$

For the action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the set of binary forms of a fixed degree d via substitution (1.4), we define a *covariant* of this action to be a function Φ which is a function of the coefficients of a binary form F of degree d and the variables x and y which satisfies

$$\Phi(F; (x, y)) = \Phi(F_T; T^{-1}(x, y)) \tag{1.17}$$

for all $T \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. When Φ is a polynomial in x and y, we see at once that Φ must be homogeneous. We then say Φ has degree k if it is a form of degree k in x and y.

We will show that the elements in $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$ are canonically given as multiples of $\mathcal{M}_f$ or $\mathcal{U}_f$ for certain quadratic covariants f of the binary form F when $\deg F = 3, 4$. This will be the content of Theorems 1.1 and 1.4.

1.1. **Binary cubic forms.** Suppose

$$F(x, y) = b_3x^3 + b_2x^2y + b_1xy^2 + b_0y^3$$

is a binary cubic form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant. We shall assume, after applying a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$-action if necessary, that $b_3 \neq 0$. There is a single *rational* quadratic covariant of F, given by the Hessian $q_F(x, y)$ in (1.6). However, Julia identified three additional *irrational*, or algebraic, quadratic covariants which depend on the roots $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$

of $F(x,1)$ in [25]. We shall write the Julia covariant with respect to a root θ of $F(x,1)$ as follows:

$$J_\theta(x,y) = h_2x^2 + h_1xy + h_0y^2, \tag{1.18}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_2 &= 9b_3^2\theta^2 + 6b_3b_2\theta + 6b_3b_1 - b_2^2, \\ h_1 &= 6b_3b_2\theta^2 + 6(b_2^2 - b_3b_1)\theta + 2b_2b_1, \\ h_0 &= 3b_3b_1\theta^2 + 3(b_2b_1 - 3b_3b_0)\theta + 2b_1^2 - 3b_2b_0. \end{aligned}$$

Cremona shows that h_2, h_1, h_0 are algebraic integers in [13], in the discussion immediately following equation (11). Thus, whenever θ is rational, J_θ has rational integral coefficients.

Define

$$\mathcal{T}_\theta = \frac{1}{6\Delta(F)}\mathcal{M}_{J_\theta}\mathcal{M}_{q_F}. \tag{1.19}$$

When θ is rational, the matrix $6\Delta(F)\mathcal{T}_\theta$ has integer entries since $\Delta(q_F) = -3\Delta(F)$ and $\Delta(J_\theta) = 12\Delta(F)$. Let d_θ denote the greatest common factor of the entries of $6\Delta(F)\mathcal{T}_\theta$ and $6\Delta(F)$, and put

$$m_\theta = \frac{6\Delta(F)}{d_\theta}. \tag{1.20}$$

We have the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let F be a binary cubic form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant. Then:*

1. $\operatorname{Aut} F = \mathbf{C}_1$ *if and only if F is irreducible and $\Delta(F)$ is not a square.*
2. $\operatorname{Aut} F$ *is generated by $\mathcal{U}_{q_F} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ and is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_3$ if and only if F is irreducible and $\Delta(F)$ is a square.*
3. $\operatorname{Aut} F$ *is generated by $\mathcal{T}_\theta$ for the unique rational root θ of $F(x,1)$ and is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_2$ if and only if F has exactly one rational linear factor over $\mathbb{Q}$, corresponding to the root θ.*
4. $$\operatorname{Aut} F = \{I_{2\times 2}, \mathcal{U}_{q_F}, \mathcal{U}_{q_F}^2, \mathcal{T}_{\theta_1}, \mathcal{T}_{\theta_2}, \mathcal{T}_{\theta_3}\} \cong \mathcal{D}_3$$
   if and only if F splits completely over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of Theorem 2.1, which gives the exact description of $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F$ for binary cubic forms F with complex coefficients. Since Theorem 1.1 shows exactly how to determine $\operatorname{Aut} F$ given the coefficients of F whenever F is a binary cubic form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant, it also allows one to explicitly determine the value C_F in (1.2) given Theorem 1.2 in [35].

As was shown in [35], in order to deduce the asymptotic formula (1.2), one needs to account for certain lattices associated to $\operatorname{Aut} F$. By Theorem 1.1, the conjugacy class of $\operatorname{Aut} F$ is one of $\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{C}_3, \mathbf{D}_1, \mathbf{D}_3$.

For a subset $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$, define $\Lambda(\mathcal{S})$ by

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{S}) = \left\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : T\begin{pmatrix}x\\y\end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \text{ for all } T \in \mathcal{S}\right\}. \tag{1.21}$$

When $\mathcal{S} = \{T\}$, we write $\Lambda(\mathcal{S}) = \Lambda(T)$. Let F be a binary cubic form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant. We write Λ for $\Lambda(\mathrm{Aut}\, F)$ and put

$$m = \det(\Lambda), \tag{1.22}$$

where $\det(\Lambda)$ denotes the determinant of Λ. If $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$ is a cyclic group, then $\Lambda = \Lambda(T)$ for any generator T of $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$. This is obvious if $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$ has order 1 or 2, and in the case when $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$ has order 3, this is a consequence of Lemma 4.2. Observe that $m = 1$ when $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$ is equal to $\mathbf{C}_1$. Note that the only conjugate of $\mathbf{C}_1$ is itself.

When $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$ is conjugate to $\mathbf{D}_3$ it has three subgroups G_1, G_2 and G_3 of order 2 with generators T_1, T_2 and T_3 respectively, and one, G_4 say, of order 3 with generator T_4. Let $\Lambda_i = \Lambda(T_i)$ be the sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ consisting of (u,v) in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ for which $T_i\begin{pmatrix}u\\v\end{pmatrix}$ is in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and put

$$m_i = \det(\Lambda_i) \tag{1.23}$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. We remark that m_4 is well defined since, by Lemma 4.2 below, Λ_4 does not depend on the choice of generator T_4.

As we have demonstrated in [35], for most integers h for which $F(x,y) = h$ has a solution in $\mathbb{Z}^2$, the number of pairs $(x',y') \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ for which $F(x',y') = h$ is completely determined by $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$. More precisely, the repetition is controlled by the lattices Λ_i given above. We then obtain the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $F(x,y)$ be an integral binary cubic form with non-zero discriminant $\Delta(F)$. Then*

1. *If $F(x,y)$ is irreducible and the discriminant $\Delta(F)$ of F is not a perfect square, then $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $m = 1$.*
2. *If $F(x,y)$ is irreducible and $\Delta(F)$ is a square, then $\Lambda = \Lambda(\mathcal{U}_{q_F})$ and*
$$m = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta(F)}}{\gcd(A,B,C)},$$
with A, B, C given by (1.5).
3. *If $F(x,y)$ has exactly one rational linear factor corresponding to a root θ of $F(x,1)$, then $\Lambda = \Lambda(\mathcal{T}_\theta)$ and $m = m_\theta$.*
4. *If $F(x,y)$ has three rational factors corresponding to rational roots $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ of $F(x,1)$, then*
$$\Lambda_i = \Lambda(\mathcal{T}_{\theta_i}) \text{ for } i = 1,2,3, \Lambda_4 = \Lambda(\mathcal{U}_{q_F}),$$
and
$$\Lambda = \Lambda_i \cap \Lambda_j \text{ for any } i \neq j.$$

Moreover, we have $m_i = m_{\theta_i}$ *for* $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$m_4 = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta(F)}}{\gcd(A, B, C)},$$

and $m = \operatorname{lcm}(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)$.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 have the following consequence, which encapsulates and generalizes to include the reducible case Hooley's theorems in [20] and [23]. Further, it gives an explicit version of Theorem 1.1 of [35] when F is a binary cubic form.

Theorem 1.3. *Let* F *be a binary cubic form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant* $\Delta(F)$. *Then* A_F *is given as in (1.9). Let* Z *be a positive real number. Then for any* $\varepsilon > 0$, *the quantity* $R_F(Z)$ *is given as follows:*

(1) *If* F *is irreducible and* $\Delta(F)$ *is not a square, then*

$$R_F(Z) = A_F Z^{\frac{2}{3}} + O_{F,\varepsilon}\left(Z^{\frac{12}{19}+\varepsilon}\right).$$

(2) *If* F *is irreducible and* $\Delta(F)$ *is a square, then*

$$R_F(Z) = \left(1 - \frac{2}{3m}\right) A_F Z^{\frac{2}{3}} + O_{F,\varepsilon}\left(Z^{\frac{12}{19}+\varepsilon}\right).$$

(3) *If* F *has exactly one rational linear factor corresponding to a rational root* θ *of* $F(x, 1)$, *then*

$$R_F(Z) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2m}\right) A_F Z^{\frac{2}{3}} + O_{F,\varepsilon}\left(Z^{\frac{12}{19}+\varepsilon}\right).$$

(4) *If* F *splits over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *and* $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ *are the three distinct rational roots of* $F(x, 1)$, *then*

$$R_F(Z) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2m_1} - \frac{1}{2m_2} - \frac{1}{2m_3} - \frac{2}{3m_4} + \frac{4}{3m}\right) A_F Z^{\frac{2}{3}} + O_{F,\varepsilon}\left(Z^{\frac{12}{19}+\varepsilon}\right).$$

Here m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m *are given as in Theorem 1.2.*

1.2. **Binary quartic forms.** Suppose

$$F(x, y) = a_4 x^4 + a_3 x^3 y + a_2 x^2 y^2 + a_1 x y^3 + a_0 y^4$$

is a binary quartic form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant. We shall assume, by applying a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$-action if necessary, that $a_4 \neq 0$. Unlike the cubic case, there are no rational quadratic covariants for binary quartic forms. However, there are three *irrational* quadratic covariants discovered by Cremona [13]. These covariants can be given explicitly in terms of the roots of $F(x, 1)$. Define $\chi(F)$ to be the number of real roots of $F(x, 1)$. We will then label the roots θ_i, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ of $F(x, 1)$ as in [4]:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_1 > \theta_2 > \theta_3 > \theta_4, & \text{if } \chi(F) = 4, \\ \theta_1 > \theta_2, \theta_3 = \overline{\theta_4}, \Im(\theta_3) > 0, & \text{if } \chi(F) = 2, \\ \theta_1 = \overline{\theta_2}, \theta_3 = \overline{\theta_4}, \Im(\theta_1) > 0, \Im(\theta_3) < 0, & \text{if } \chi(F) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.24}$$

Here $\Im(z)$ refers to the imaginary part of the complex number z. Put

$$A_1 = a_4(\theta_1+\theta_2-\theta_3-\theta_4), B_1 = 2a_4(\theta_3\theta_4-\theta_1\theta_2), C_1 = a_4(\theta_1\theta_2(\theta_3+\theta_4)-\theta_3\theta_4(\theta_1+\theta_2)), \tag{1.25}$$

$A_2 = a_4(\theta_1 + \theta_3 - \theta_2 - \theta_4), B_2 = 2a_4(\theta_2\theta_4 - \theta_1\theta_3), C_2 = a_4(\theta_1\theta_3(\theta_2 + \theta_4) - \theta_2\theta_4(\theta_1 + \theta_3))$, and

$A_3 = a_4(\theta_1 + \theta_4 - \theta_2 - \theta_3), B_3 = 2a_4(\theta_2\theta_3 - \theta_1\theta_4), C_3 = a_4(\theta_1\theta_4(\theta_2 + \theta_3) - \theta_2\theta_3(\theta_1 + \theta_4))$

and define the i-th *Cremona covariant* to be

$$\mathfrak{C}_i(x, y) = A_i x^2 + B_i xy + C_i y^2, i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{1.26}$$

Put

$$D_i = \Delta(\mathfrak{C}_i) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{1.27}$$

One checks that the D_i's satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= 4a_4(\theta_1 - \theta_3)(\theta_1 - \theta_4)(\theta_2 - \theta_3)(\theta_2 - \theta_4), \\ D_2 &= 4a_4(\theta_1 - \theta_2)(\theta_1 - \theta_4)(\theta_3 - \theta_2)(\theta_3 - \theta_4), \end{aligned} \tag{1.28}$$

and

$$D_3 = 4a_4(\theta_1 - \theta_2)(\theta_1 - \theta_3)(\theta_4 - \theta_2)(\theta_4 - \theta_3).$$

Put

$$U_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|D_i|}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{C}_i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{1.29}$$

Note that all binary quartic forms are invariant under the action of $\sqrt{-1} \cdot I_{2\times 2}$. If $A_i, B_i, C_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|D_i|$ is a square, then put

$$m(U_i) = \frac{\sqrt{|D_i|}}{\gcd(2A_i, B_i, 2C_i)}. \tag{1.30}$$

There is a simple criterion to determine whether or not $\mathfrak{C}_i$ has rational integral coefficients. First consider the two rational invariants of binary quartic forms, typically denoted $I(F)$ and $J(F)$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} I(F) &= 12a_4a_0 - 3a_3a_1 + a_2^2, \text{ and} \\ J(F) &= 72a_4a_2a_0 + 9a_3a_2a_1 - 27a_4a_1^2 - 27a_0a_3^2 - 2a_2^3. \end{aligned} \tag{1.31}$$

Write $\mathcal{Q}_F(x)$ for the *cubic resolvent* of F, defined by

$$\mathcal{Q}_F(x) = x^3 - 3I(F)x + J(F). \tag{1.32}$$

From the definition of $\mathcal{Q}_F(x)$ we see that the roots β_i of $\mathcal{Q}_F(x)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &= 3a_4(\theta_1\theta_2 + \theta_3\theta_4) - a_2, \\ \beta_2 &= 3a_4(\theta_1\theta_3 + \theta_2\theta_4) - a_2, \end{aligned} \tag{1.33}$$

and

$$\beta_3 = 3a_4(\theta_1\theta_4 + \theta_2\theta_3) - a_2.$$

The Hessian covariant of the binary quartic form F is of degree 4, given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_4(x, y) = (3a_3^2 - 8a_4a_2)x^4 + 4(a_3a_2 - 6a_4a_1)x^3y + 2(2a_2^2 - 24a_4a_0 - 3a_3a_1)x^2y^2 \\ +4(a_2a_1 - 6a_3a_0)xy^3 + (3a_1^2 - 8a_2a_0)y^4. \end{aligned} \tag{1.34}$$

As Cremona demonstrated in [13], $\mathfrak{C}_i(x, y)$ satisfies the syzygy:

$$\mathfrak{C}_i(x, y)^2 = \frac{1}{3}\left(F_4(x, y) + 4\beta_i F(x, y)\right). \tag{1.35}$$

Therefore, up to the choice of square roots, $\mathfrak{C}_i$ is completely determined by the root β_i of the cubic resolvent $\mathcal{Q}_F(x)$. We then have the following theorem:

Theorem 1.4. *Let F be a binary quartic form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant.*

(1) $\operatorname{Aut} F = \mathbf{C}_2$ *if and only if, for each $i = 1, 2, 3$, either β_i is not an integer or β_i is an integer but $|D_i|$ is not a square.*
(2) $\operatorname{Aut} F$ *is generated by $U_i \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ and $-I_{2\times 2}$ if and only if β_i is an integer and $|D_i|$ is a square, but for $j \neq i$, either β_j is not an integer or D_j is not a square. In this case* $\operatorname{Aut} F$ *is conjugate to $\mathbf{D}_2$ or $\mathbf{C}_4$.*
(3)

$$\operatorname{Aut} F = \{\pm I_{2\times 2}, \pm U_1, \pm U_2, \pm U_3\} \cong \mathcal{D}_4$$

if and only if β_i is an integer for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $|D_i|$ is a square for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

We repeat once more that the determination of W_F is reliant upon determining certain lattices associated with $\operatorname{Aut} F$. Note that when $\operatorname{Aut} F = \mathbf{C}_2$, we have $m = 1$. When $\operatorname{Aut} F$ is conjugate to $\mathbf{D}_4$ there are three subgroups G_1, G_2 and G_3 of order 2 of $\operatorname{Aut} F/\{\pm I_{2\times 2}\}$. Let Λ_i be the sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ consisting of (u, v) in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ for which $T\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$ is in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ for T in a generator of G_i and put

$$m_i = \det(\Lambda_i) \tag{1.36}$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$. We then obtain the following:

Theorem 1.5. *Let $F(x, y)$ be a binary quartic form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant $\Delta(F)$. We have the following:*

(1) *If for each $i = 1, 2, 3$ the root β_i of $\mathcal{Q}_F(x)$ is not an integer or $|D_i|$ is not a square, then $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $m = 1$.*
(2) *If β_i is an integer and $|D_i|$ is a square and for $j \neq i$ either β_j is not an integer or $|D_j|$ is not a square, then $\Lambda = \Lambda(U_i)$ and $m = m(U_i)$.*
(3) *If each root β_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$ of $\mathcal{Q}_F(x)$ is an integer and each $|D_i|$ is a square for $i = 1, 2, 3$, then*

$$\Lambda_i = \Lambda(U_i) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3,$$

and

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_i \cap \Lambda_j \text{ for any } i \neq j.$$

Moreover, we have $m_i = m(U_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $m = \operatorname{lcm}(m_1, m_2, m_3)$.

To complete the generalization of Hooley's theorems in [21], we require the explicit determination of the area A_F given in (1.3) for binary quartic forms F. M.A. Bean gave an elegant method for calculating the value of A_F for binary quartic forms in [4]. Bean stated his results in terms of the *cross ratio* of the roots of $F(x, 1)$, given by

$$\lambda_F = \frac{(\theta_1 - \theta_3)(\theta_2 - \theta_4)}{(\theta_1 - \theta_4)(\theta_2 - \theta_3)}.$$

We now introduce, as in Bean's paper [4], the auxiliary quantity

$$\delta_F = \begin{cases} \lambda_F, & \text{if } \chi(F) = 4, \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{1+\Re(\lambda_F)}{2}}\right), & \text{if } \chi(F) = 2, \\ \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_F}-1}{\sqrt{\lambda_F}+1}\right)^2, & \text{if } \chi(F) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.37}$$

Here $\Re(z)$ refers to the real part of a complex number z. Bean showed in [4] that if one orders the the θ_i's in the manner as in (1.24), then the quantity δ_F always lies in the interval $(0, 1)$.

The computation of A_F involves the evaluation of an elliptic integral. Indeed, it can be shown that one can reduce the computation of A_F to evaluating an *elliptic integral of the first kind* (see [11]). We will use the following representation for a complete elliptic integral of the first kind, with parameter α:

$$K(\alpha) = \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t(1-t)(1-\alpha t)}},$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. We now put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}_4(\alpha) &= 2\alpha^{1/6}(1-\alpha)^{1/6}(K(\alpha) + K(1-\alpha)), \\ \mathfrak{J}_2(\alpha) &= 2^{1/3}\alpha^{1/12}(1-\alpha)^{1/12}(K(\alpha) + K(1-\alpha)), \\ \mathfrak{J}_0(\alpha) &= 2^{1/3}\alpha^{1/12}(1-\alpha)^{1/3}K(1-\alpha), \end{aligned} \tag{1.38}$$

for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Bean's result in [4] can now be summarized as follows: for F a binary quartic form with real coefficients, we have

$$|\Delta(F)|^{\frac{1}{12}} A_F = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{J}_4(\delta_F) & \text{if } \chi(F) = 4, \\ \mathfrak{J}_2(\delta_F) & \text{if } \chi(F) = 2, \\ \mathfrak{J}_0(\delta_F) & \text{if } \chi(F) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.39}$$

This result, combined with Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.2 in [35] allows us to deduce the following explicit version of Theorem 1.1 in [35], which generalizes Hooley's work in [21]:

Theorem 1.6. *Let F be a binary quartic form with integer coefficients, non-zero discriminant $\Delta(F)$, and non-zero leading coefficient. Then A_F is given as in (1.39). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a real number. $R_F(Z)$ is given by:*

(1) *If for each $i = 1, 2, 3$ either β_i is not an integer or β_i is an integer but $|D_i|$ is not a square, then*

$$R_F(Z) = \frac{A_F}{2} Z^{\frac{1}{2}} + O_{F,\varepsilon}\left(Z^{\frac{3}{7}+\varepsilon}\right).$$

(2) *If β_i is an integer and $|D_i|$ is a square, and for $j \neq i$ either β_j is not an integer or β_j is an integer but $|D_j|$ is not a square, then*

$$R_F(Z) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2m}\right) \frac{A_F}{2} Z^{\frac{1}{2}} + O_{F,\varepsilon}\left(Z^{\frac{3}{7}+\varepsilon}\right).$$

(3) *If for each $i = 1, 2, 3$ β_i is an integer and $|D_i|$ is a square, then $\Delta(F) > 0$ and*

$$R_F(Z) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2m_1} - \frac{1}{2m_2} - \frac{1}{2m_3} + \frac{3}{4m}\right) \frac{A_F}{2} Z^{\frac{1}{2}} + O_{F,\varepsilon}\left(Z^{\frac{3}{7}+\varepsilon}\right).$$

Theorem 1.6 generalizes Hooley's theorem in [21].

1.3. **Lines on algebraic surfaces of degree 3 and 4 defined by binary cubic and quartic forms.** Our explicit characterization of automorphism group of binary cubic forms, even over the complex numbers, allows us to study lines on algebraic surfaces of the shape

$$X_F : F(x_1, x_2) - F(x_3, x_4) = 0. \tag{1.40}$$

It is a celebrated theorem of Cayley and Salmon that cubic surfaces contain exactly 27 lines over an algebraically closed field. However, for a cubic surface defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, these lines are typically not defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. There exists a unique smallest finite extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$ such that all 27 lines are defined. In particular, for the generic cubic surface defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, this field is Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$ and its Galois group is isomorphic to $W(E_6)$, the Weyl group for the E_6 root system. Ekedahl [16] found an explicit example of a cubic surface which realizes this bound. We shall prove that when F is a cubic form the field of definition of the lines on the surface X_F given by (1.40) is very small.

For quartic surfaces, it is not known in general how many lines they contain. The generic quartic surface contains no lines; see [9]. It is a consequence of Theorem 3.1 in [9] that the surface X_F given in (1.40) contains exactly $d(d + \upsilon_F)$ many lines, where υ_F is the number of automorphisms of F in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and d is the degree of F. For the quartic case this was already known to Segre; see [33]. It is known from the work of Klein [26] and later Segre [33] that the $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-automorphism group of a binary quartic form F with complex coefficients and non-zero discriminant is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2$ unless the invariants $I(F), J(F)$ vanishes. Specifically, the $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-automorphism group of a quartic form F is isomorphic to the dihedral group $\mathcal{D}_4$ if $J(F) = 0$ and isomorphic to the alternating group $\mathcal{A}_4$ if $I(F) = 0$. We do not know how to explicitly determine the field of definitions of the lines on X_F corresponding to the extra automorphisms when $I, J = 0$, but in the generic case when $I(F) \cdot J(F) \neq 0$, we can determine the field of definition of all lines on X_F.

We thus obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 1.7. *Let F be a binary cubic or quartic form with non-zero discriminant and integer coefficients. Let X_F be the algebraic surface defined by (1.40). Then*

(a) *for $\deg F = 3$, X contains exactly 27 distinct lines over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, and these lines are defined over a field of degree at most 12 over $\mathbb{Q}$.*
(b) *for $\deg F = 4$, X contains exactly 32 distinct lines over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ if both $I(F)$ and $J(F)$ are non-zero, 48 lines when $J(F) = 0$, and 64 lines when $I(F) = 0$. Further, when $I(F) \cdot J(F) \neq 0$, these lines are defined over a field of degree at most 48 over $\mathbb{Q}$.*

2. Automorphism groups of binary cubic forms

For a binary cubic form F the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F$ is entirely determined by the Hessian covariant q_F and the Julia covariants J_{θ_i} for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and that for real quartic forms, the group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ is determined by the Cremona covariants $\mathfrak{C}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. These covariants were originally discovered by Julia in his thesis and used extensively by Cremona [13] in his work on the reduction theory of binary cubic and quartic forms. Bhargava and Yang succeeded in using Julia's quadratic invariant to count binary forms of arbitrary degree in [7].

Even though Theorem 1.1 are concerned with $\mathrm{Aut}\, F = \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}} F$, we will actually do more, namely we shall determine $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F$ whenever F is a binary cubic form with complex coefficients and non-zero discriminant. In principle our method also allows one to find $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}} F$ of F for any subring $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{C}$. We have the following result:

Theorem 2.1. *Let F be a binary cubic form with complex coefficients and non-zero discriminant. Suppose that the leading coefficient of F is non-zero, and let $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ be the roots of $F(x, 1)$. Put ω for a primitive third root of unity. Then the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F$ of F is given by*

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F = \bigcup_{j=0}^{2} \left\{ \omega^j I_{2\times 2}, \omega^j \mathcal{T}_{\theta_1}, \omega^j \mathcal{T}_{\theta_2}, \omega^j \mathcal{T}_{\theta_3}, \omega^j \mathcal{U}_{q_F}, \omega^j \mathcal{U}_{q_F}^2 \right\}.$$

To prove Theorem 2.1, we shall require some preliminary results. The following lemma shows that equivalent binary forms have conjugate automorphism groups:

Lemma 2.2. *Let F, G be binary forms with complex coefficients which are $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-equivalent, say $F_T(x, y) = G(x, y)$. Then for $T \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ we have*

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} G = T^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F)T.$$

Proof. Suppose that F, G are binary forms with complex coefficients and non-zero discriminant which are $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-equivalent, say $F_T(x, y) = G(x, y)$ with $T \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose that $U \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} G_{T^{-1}UT}(x, y) &= F_{T(T^{-1}UT)}(x, y) \\ &= F_T(x, y) \\ &= G(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

hence $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} G \subset T^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F)T$. The reverse inclusion follows from the fact that $F(x, y) = G_{T^{-1}}(x, y)$. □

Our next lemma shows that under $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ action, there is just one orbit of binary cubic forms with non-zero discriminant. This follows from the fact that $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is 3-transitive on the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$. However, we shall give an explicit and elementary proof here.

Lemma 2.3. *Let F be a binary cubic form with complex coefficients and non-zero discriminant. Then there exists $T \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $F_T(x, y) = xy(x + y)$.*

Proof. Suppose that

$$F(x, y) = (\alpha_1 x - \beta_1 y)(\alpha_2 x - \beta_2 y)(\alpha_3 x - \beta_3 y), \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

We first make the substitution

$$u = \alpha_1 x - \beta_1 y,\ v = \alpha_2 x - \beta_2 y. \tag{2.1}$$

This transformation is invertible, since $\Delta(F) \neq 0$. It follows that

$$F(u,v) = uv\left(\frac{(\alpha_3\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_3)u - (\alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3)v}{\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1}\right).$$

From here, setting

$$s = \frac{\alpha_3\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_3}{\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1}u \text{ and } t = -\frac{\alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3}{\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1}v \tag{2.2}$$

shows that F is equivalent to a form of the shape

$$\mathcal{F}(x,y) = Axy(x+y)$$

for some non-zero complex number A. Finally, we can always normalize $\mathcal{F}$ by setting $u = A^{-1/3}x, v = A^{-1/3}y$, where the cube root can be taken with respect to any branch of the logarithm over $\mathbb{C}$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Note that the definition of Julia covariant given in (1.18) is invalid when $b_3 = 0$; because then $F(x,1)$ is a quadratic rather than a cubic polynomial. This can easily be remedied by the observation that if $F(x,y) = b_2x^2y + b_1xy^2 + b_0y^3$, one can apply a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ element T to F such that F_T has non-zero leading coefficient and then use the fact that the Julia covariants are covariants.

Let $F(x,y) = xy(x+y)$. We apply the action

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

to F, so that

$$F_T(x,y) = 2x^3 + 3x^2y + xy^2.$$

The roots of $F_T(x,1)$ are then $\theta_1 = 0, \theta_2 = -1, \theta_3 = -1/2$. Computing the Julia covariants we then see that they are given by

$$J_{\theta_1}(F_T) = 3x^2 + 6xy + 2y^2, J_{\theta_2}(F_T) = 3x^2 - y^2, J_{\theta_3}(F_T) = -6x^2 - 6xy - y^2.$$

By Lemma 2.2 and the fact that J_{θ_i} for $i = 1,2,3$ and $\mathcal{H}_F$ are covariants of F, we see that the Julia covariants of F are given by (up to sign)

$$J_1(x,y) = x^2 - 2xy - 2y^2, J_2(x,y) = 2x^2 + 2xy - y^2, J_3(x,y) = x^2 + 4xy + y^2.$$

Moreover, $\Delta(F) = 1$ and

$$q_F(x,y) = x^2 + xy + y^2,$$

with $D = \Delta(q_F) = -3$. The associated matrices $\mathcal{M}_{J_1}, \mathcal{M}_{J_2}, \mathcal{M}_{J_3}$ are then given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{J_1} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -4 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{M}_{J_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -4 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{M}_{J_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ -2 & -4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, we note that the matrix associated to the Hessian, $\mathcal{M}_{q_F}$, is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_F = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, by [34], we know that $\operatorname{Aut} F$ is equal to $\mathbf{D}_3$. We also see that

$$\frac{1}{6}\mathcal{J}_1\mathcal{H}_F = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{J}_2\mathcal{H}_F = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{J}_3\mathcal{H}_F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A quick calculation shows that all of these lie in $\mathbf{D}_3$, as desired. Moreover, by Hooley [20], we know that the order 3 element associated to the Hessian $x^2 + xy + y^2$, equal to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, lies in $\operatorname{Aut} F$.

Observe that $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F$ is a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Let

$$\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})} F = \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F / \{I_{2\times 2}, \omega I_{2\times 2}, \omega^2 I_{2\times 2}\}$$

where ω is a primitive third root of unity. Then by Lemma 2.2 and the fact that for $F(x, y) = xy(x + y)$ we have $\operatorname{Aut} F = \mathbf{D}_3$, it follows that $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})} F \cong \mathcal{D}_3$ whenever F has non-zero discriminant. Thus $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F$ is given by

$$\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F = \bigcup_{i=0}^{2} \omega^j \cdot \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})} F.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

2.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** The first two cases of Theorem 1.1 were done by Hooley in [20] and [23]. For the sake of completeness, we shall give an argument here. Suppose that F is irreducible. Then since $\Delta(F)$ is an integer and A, B, C are integers, it follows that $\mathcal{T}_\theta$ is not in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ for any root of θ of $F(x, 1)$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, either $\operatorname{Aut} F = \mathbf{C}_1$ or $\operatorname{Aut} F = \{I_{2\times 2}, \mathcal{U}_{q_F}, \mathcal{U}_{q_F}^2\}$.

Then by (1.16) we see that $\mathcal{U}_{q_F}$ is in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ precisely when $\Delta(q_F)$ is negative three times a square. Since $\Delta(q_F) = -3\Delta(F)$, this is equivalent to $\Delta(F)$ being a square.

Now assume that F is reducible. Let θ be a rational root of $F(x, 1)$. We show that the corresponding $\mathcal{T}_\theta$ is an element of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ of determinant -1 and order 2. Recall that

$$\mathcal{T}_\theta = \frac{1}{6\Delta(F)}\mathcal{M}_{J_\theta}\mathcal{M}_{q_F}.$$

By (1.5), (1.18) and (1.14) we have

$$\mathcal{T}_\theta = \frac{1}{6\Delta(F)} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & 2h_0 \\ -2h_2 & -h_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B & 2C \\ -2A & -B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, since $\Delta(J_\theta) = 12\Delta(F)$ and $\Delta(q_F) = -3\Delta(F)$, it follows that $\det(\mathcal{T}_\theta) = -1$. The fact that $\mathcal{T}_\theta \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ now follows from the fact that $\mathcal{M}_{J_\theta}$ has integer entries when θ is rational.

Now suppose that the roots $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ of $F(x, 1)$ are all rational. By the preceding paragraphs, it suffices to check that $\Delta(F)$ is a square. Let b_3 be the leading coefficient of F. Then the well-known formula for the discriminant in terms of the roots of $F(x, 1)$ yields

$$\Delta(F) = b_3^6 \prod_{i<j} (\theta_i - \theta_j)^2.$$

It is plain that $\Delta(F)$ is the square of a rational number. Since $\Delta(F)$ is an integer whenever F has integer coefficients, it follows that $\Delta(F)$ is a square. Thus,

$$\operatorname{Aut} F = \{I_{2\times 2}, \mathcal{U}_{q_F}, \mathcal{U}_{q_F}^2, \mathcal{T}_{\theta_1}, \mathcal{T}_{\theta_2}, \mathcal{T}_{\theta_3}\}$$

as claimed.

3. Automorphism groups of binary quartic forms

Let F be a binary quartic form with real coefficients and non-zero discriminant, and let $\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_4$ be the four roots of $F(x,1)$. Label the θ_i's as in (1.24). We shall prove the following lemma which allows us to deduce when U_i, $i = 1,2,3$, is in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. We recall the numbers $D_i, i = 1,2,3$ from (1.28) and the roots $\beta_i, i = 1,2,3$ of the cubic resolvent $\mathcal{Q}_F(x)$ from (1.33).

Lemma 3.1. *With the roots $\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_4$ of $F(x,1)$ labeled as in (1.24), we have*

(a) *If $\Delta(F) > 0$, then $U_i \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i = 1,2,3$.*
(b) *If $\Delta(F) < 0$, then $U_1 \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}), \beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $U_i \notin \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}), \beta_i \notin \mathbb{R}$ for $i = 2,3$.*

Proof. First suppose that $\Delta(F) > 0$. Then $\chi(F) \in \{0,4\}$. If $\chi(F) = 4$, then clearly β_i, D_i are real for $i = 1,2,3$. This implies that $U_i \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ for $i = 1,2,3$ by (1.25) and (1.35). If $\chi(F) = 0$, then by noting that

$$\theta_1 - \theta_2, \theta_3 - \theta_4 \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$\theta_1 - \theta_4 = \overline{\theta_2 - \theta_3}, \theta_1 - \theta_3 = \overline{\theta_2 - \theta_4},$$

we see that D_i is real for $i = 1,2,3$. A similar argument yields that β_i is real for $i = 1,2,3$. When $\chi(F) = 2$, we see that $\beta_2, \beta_3, D_2, D_3$ are not real. □

In the case of binary quartic forms, we again determine $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}} F$ for some bigger field $\mathbb{F}$ containing $\mathbb{Q}$. However, we shall work with $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ instead of $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. The reason is because when $I(F)$ or $J(F)$ vanishes, $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F$ will contain elements of order 3 and 8 respectively which do not arise from the Cremona covariants. Nevertheless, these 'exotic' automorphisms cannot be realized over $\mathbb{R}$. Thus for the purposes of proving Theorems 1.4, it suffices to determine $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$. We now state our theorem characterizing the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ for binary quartic forms:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $F(x,y)$ be a binary quartic form with real coefficients and non-zero discriminant. Then*

(a) *If $\Delta(F) > 0$, then $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F = \{\pm I_{2\times 2}, \pm U_1, \pm U_2, \pm U_3\}$.*
(b) *If $\Delta(F) < 0$, then $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F = \{\pm I_{2\times 2}, \pm U_1\}$.*

To prove Theorem 3.2, we shall show explicitly that $U_1, U_2, U_3 \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ when $\Delta(F) > 0$ and $U_1 \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ when $\Delta(F) < 0$. We then note that $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ is a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, and we show that all finite subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ are $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-conjugate to a subgroup of the orthogonal group $O_2(\mathbb{R})$. We show that in each case, $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ does not contain any other elements.

We first classify possible finite subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Let $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be a finite subgroup, and let $q(x,y)$ be a positive definite binary quadratic form with real coefficients. Then for all $U \in G$ we have $q_U(x,y)$ is also positive definite. Moreover, the form given by

$$q^G(x,y) = \frac{1}{\#G}\sum_{U\in G} q_U(x,y)$$

is a positive definite quadratic form which is invariant under G. Let us put

$$q^G(x,y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2, b^2 - 4ac < 0.$$

Then by writing

$$q^G(x,y) = a\left(x + \frac{b}{2a}y\right)^2 + \frac{4ac-b^2}{4a}y^2,$$

we see that $q^G(x,y)$ is $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-equivalent to x^2+y^2, say

$$q^G_T(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$$

for some $T \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. The form x^2+y^2 is invariant under the orthogonal group $O_2(\mathbb{R})$, and $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ is in fact the maximal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ which fixes x^2+y^2. Moreover, $T^{-1}GT$ fixes x^2+y^2. Therefore, $T^{-1}GT \subset O_2(\mathbb{R})$. We summarize this as the following lemma:

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then G is $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-conjugate to a finite subgroup of the orthogonal group $O_2(\mathbb{R})$.*

It is well-known that all matrices in $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix}\cos\theta & -\sin\theta\\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}\cos\theta & \sin\theta\\ \sin\theta & -\cos\theta\end{pmatrix},$$

for some $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. The first type are rotations and the second type are reflections. Moreover, all finite subgroups of $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ are either cyclic or dihedral.

We shall require the following lemma.

Proposition 3.4. *Let F be a binary quartic form with real coefficients and non-zero leading coefficient and discriminant. Then $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ does not contain any elements of order different from $1, 2, 4$. If we further assume that $\Delta(F) < 0$, then $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ does not contain any elements of order 4.*

Proposition 3.4 is a consequence of Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7. For any

$$U = \begin{pmatrix}u_1 & u_2\\ u_3 & u_4\end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}),$$

U acts on an element $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ via the action

$$U : \theta \mapsto \frac{u_1\theta + u_2}{u_3\theta + u_4}. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let F be a binary quartic form with real coefficients and non-zero leading coefficient. Suppose that there is $U \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$, not equal to $\pm I_{2\times 2}$, such that U fixes every root θ of $F(x,1)$ via the action (3.1). Then $\Delta(F) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $U = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u_3 & u_4 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ be an element in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ such that U fixes every root θ of $F(x,1)$ via the action (3.1). Then each root θ of $F(x,1)$ satisfies the equation

$$\theta = \frac{u_1\theta + u_2}{u_3\theta + u_4}. \tag{3.2}$$

Thus each root θ of $F(x,1)$ is a root of the quadratic polynomial

$$u_3x^2 + (u_4 - u_1)x - u_2 = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

If the coefficients $u_3, u_4 - u_1, -u_2$ are not all zero, then there are at most two choices for θ. However, the condition $u_3 = u_2 = 0, u_4 = u_1$ is only possible if $u_4 = u_1 = \pm 1$. This implies $U = \pm I_{2\times 2}$, contradicting our hypothesis. This shows that there are at most two choices for θ. However $F(x,1)$ has four roots, so there exists a root θ with multiplicity at least 2, which implies that $\Delta(F) = 0$. □

Next we show that when $\Delta(F) \neq 0$, $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ contains only elements whose order is a power of 2.

Lemma 3.6. *Let F be a binary quartic form with real coefficients and non-zero leading coefficient and discriminant. Then the order of each element in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ is a power of 2.*

Proof. If an element $U \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ has order which is divisible by an odd integer $l > 1$, then l is a divisor of $\#\,\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ by Lagrange's theorem. Thus, for every odd prime p dividing l, there exists an element of order p in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ by Cauchy's theorem. Thus, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ does not contain an elements of odd prime order.

Suppose $U \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ has odd prime order p. Then U permutes the roots of $F(x,1)$ via the action (3.1). If $p \geq 5$, then for a given root θ of $F(x,1)$, the orbit of θ under U must have size dividing p. Since F has 4 distinct roots, it follows that each orbit has size one and U fixes each root of F. Lemma 3.5 implies that $\Delta(F) = 0$, contradicting our assumption that $\Delta(F) \neq 0$.

If $p = 3$, then either U fixes all roots of $F(x,1)$ or fixes exactly one root θ of F. In the former case, Lemma 3.5 again gives that $\Delta(F) = 0$, contradicting our hypothesis. Thus U must fix exactly one root θ of $F(x,1)$ and cyclically permutes the remaining three. Suppose that $U = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u_3 & u_4 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ is of order 3. (3.2) implies that

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u_3 & u_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = (u_3\theta + u_4) \begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies that $\binom{\theta}{1}$ is an eigenvector of U, and that $u_3\theta + u_4$ is an eigenvalue. However, U has order 3 and is real, so its eigenvalues are primitive third roots of unity. This implies that θ is not real. Observe that since F has real coefficients and θ is a root of $F(x,1)$, it follows that the complex conjugate $\overline{\theta}$ of θ is also a root of $F(x,1)$. Furthermore, by taking the complex conjugate of (3.2) and on noting that $U \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that U also fixes the complex conjugate $\overline{\theta}$ of θ. This contradicts our assumption that U cyclically permutes the remaining three roots of $F(x,1)$. □

Finally, we show that $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ does not contain any elements of order 2^l with $l \geq 3$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let F be a binary quartic form with real coefficients and non-zero leading coefficient and discriminant. Then $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ does not contain any elements of order 2^l for $l \geq 3$, and $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ does not contain elements of order 4 when $\Delta(F) < 0$.*

Proof. Let $k = 2^l$ with $l \geq 1$ and suppose that $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ contains an element of order k. By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.3, upon considering a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-action if necessary, we may assume that $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F \subset O_2(\mathbb{R})$. If $\det(U) = -1$, then U is a reflection and thus has order 2. We may therefore assume that U is a reflection and thus takes the form

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2\pi(2s+1)/k) & -\sin(2\pi(2s+1)/k) \\ \sin(2\pi(2s+1)/k) & \cos(2\pi(2s+1)/k) \end{pmatrix}, s \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

If U fixes each of the roots of $F(x,1)$ and $l \geq 2$, then Lemma 3.5 gives that $\Delta(F) = 0$, contradicting our hypothesis. Therefore, U must move at least one root θ of F. The orbit of θ under U has size at most 4. Thus, U^4 fixes each root of F. If $U^4 \neq \pm I_{2\times 2}$ then Lemma 3.5 gives $\Delta(F) = 0$, a contradiction. Hence, we must have $U^4 = \pm I_{2\times 2}$. If $U^4 = I_{2\times 2}$ then U has order $1, 2, 4$, so we assume that $U^4 = -I_{2\times 2}$ and U has order 8. If the roots of F do not lie in a single orbit under U, then U^2 fixes all roots of F and is not equal to $\pm I_{2\times 2}$, so we are again forced to conclude that $\Delta(F) = 0$ by Lemma 3.5. Thus we may assume the roots of $F(x,1)$ lie in a single orbit under powers of U. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\pi/4) & \sin(\pi/4) \\ -\sin(\pi/4) & \cos(\pi/4) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The orbit of a root θ of $F(x,1)$ under powers of U is given by

$$\theta, \frac{\theta - 1}{\theta + 1}, \frac{-1}{\theta}, \frac{1+\theta}{1-\theta}$$

and these must be the distinct roots of $F(x,1)$. Therefore,

$$F(x,y) = a(x - \theta y)\left(x - \frac{\theta-1}{\theta+1}y\right)\left(x + \frac{y}{\theta}\right)\left(x + \frac{\theta+1}{\theta-1}y\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_U(x,y) &= \frac{a}{4}\left((x-y) - \theta(x+y)\right) \cdots \left((x-y) + \frac{\theta+1}{\theta-1}(x+y)\right) \\ &= \frac{a}{4}\left((1-\theta)x - y(1+\theta)\right)\left(x\left(\frac{2}{\theta+1}\right) - y\left(\frac{2\theta}{\theta+1}\right)\right) \\ &\times \left(x\left(\frac{\theta+1}{\theta}\right) - y\left(\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}\right)\right)\left(x\left(\frac{2\theta}{\theta-1}\right) + y\left(\frac{2}{\theta-1}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{a(1-\theta)(2)(\theta+1)(2\theta)}{4(\theta+1)(\theta)(\theta-1)}\left(x + \frac{\theta+1}{\theta-1}y\right)\left(x - \frac{\theta-1}{\theta+1}y\right) \\ &\times \left(x - \frac{\theta-1}{\theta+1}y\right)\left(x + \frac{y}{\theta}\right) \\ &= -F(x,y). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that U fixes the roots of F but not F, hence $U \notin \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$, a contradiction.

Finally we show that when $\Delta(F) < 0$, the group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ does not contain any elements of order 4. Any pair of elements $U, U' \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ of order 4 are conjugate by Lemma 3.3. Thus, if $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ contains an element of order 4, say U, then there exists $T \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$U = T^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} T.$$

By [34] and Lemma 2.2, it follows that F is $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-equivalent to a form $\mathcal{F}$ of the shape

$$\mathcal{F}(x, y) = x^4 + bx^3y + cx^2y^2 - bxy^3 + y^4.$$

The discriminant of $\mathcal{F}$ is equal to

$$(b^2 - 4c - 8)^2(4b^2 + c^2 - 4c + 4) = (b^2 - 4c - 8)^2(4b^2 + (c - 2)^2).$$

Note that $\Delta(\mathcal{F}) \geq 0$. Thus, if $\Delta(F) < 0$, then $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ does not contain any elements of order 4. This concludes the proof. □

Proposition 3.4 is seen to follow from Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7.

We now give a proof of Theorem 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. By Theorem 8 of [19] we know that $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ is a finite group. Thus by Lemma 2.2, Lemma 3.3, and Proposition 3.4, we know that if $\Delta(F) > 0$ then $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ is contained in a group isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_4$ and when $\Delta(F) < 0$, we have $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ is contained in a group isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2$. It suffices to find explicit generators for $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ in both cases to show that equality holds.

First suppose that $\Delta(F) > 0$. We will show that $U_1, U_2, U_3 \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$. We will use the following observation: if $U \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ permutes the roots of $F(x, 1)$ and fixes the leading coefficient of F, then $U \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$. Let us consider the action of U_1 on θ_1, via the action in (3.1). We have

$$U_1 : \theta_1 \mapsto \frac{B_1\theta_1 + 2C_1}{-2A_1\theta_1 - B_1}.$$

Expanding using (1.25), we obtain

$$\frac{B_1\theta_1 + 2C_1}{-2A_1\theta_1 - B_1} = \frac{-2\theta_2(\theta_1 - \theta_3)(\theta_1 - \theta_4)}{-2(\theta_1 - \theta_3)(\theta_1 - \theta_4)} = \theta_2.$$

Next we see that

$$\frac{B_1\theta_3 + 2C_1}{-2A_1\theta_3 - B_1} = \frac{2\theta_4(\theta_3 - \theta_1)(\theta_3 - \theta_2)}{2(\theta_3 - \theta_1)(\theta_3 - \theta_2)} = \theta_4.$$

A similar calculation shows that U_1 sends θ_2 to θ_1 and θ_4 to θ_3. This shows that U_1 permutes the roots of F.

Now we need to check that U_1 fixes the leading coefficient of F. This is equivalent to checking that

$$\frac{1}{D_1^2}\left(a_4B_1^4 + a_3B_1^3(-2A_1) + a_2B_1^2(-2A_1)^2 + a_1B_1(-2A_1)^3 + a_0(-2A_1)^4\right) = a_4. \tag{3.4}$$

Using the fact that $a_4 \neq 0$ and the Vieta relations

$$\frac{a_3}{a_4} = -(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 + \theta_4),$$

$$\frac{a_2}{a_4} = \theta_1\theta_2 + \theta_1\theta_3 + \theta_1\theta_4 + \theta_2\theta_3 + \theta_2\theta_4 + \theta_3\theta_4,$$
$$\frac{a_1}{a_4} = -(\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3 + \theta_1\theta_2\theta_4 + \theta_1\theta_3\theta_4 + \theta_2\theta_3\theta_4),$$

and

$$\frac{a_0}{a_4} = \theta_1\theta_2\theta_3\theta_4,$$

we see that (3.4) is equivalent to checking that

$$\begin{gathered}(\theta_3\theta_4 - \theta_1\theta_2)^4 + (\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 + \theta_4)(\theta_3\theta_4 - \theta_1\theta_2)^3(\theta_1 + \theta_2 - \theta_3 - \theta_4) \\ +(\theta_1\theta_2 + \theta_1\theta_3 + \theta_1\theta_4 + \theta_2\theta_3 + \theta_2\theta_4 + \theta_3\theta_4)(\theta_3\theta_4 - \theta_1\theta_2)^2(\theta_1 + \theta_2 - \theta_3 - \theta_4)^2 \\ +(\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3 + \theta_1\theta_2\theta_4 + \theta_1\theta_3\theta_4 + \theta_2\theta_3\theta_4)(\theta_3\theta_4 - \theta_1\theta_2)(\theta_1 + \theta_2 - \theta_3 - \theta_4)^3 \\ +\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3\theta_4(\theta_1 + \theta_2 - \theta_3 - \theta_4)^4\end{gathered}$$

is equal to

$$(\theta_1 - \theta_3)^2(\theta_1 - \theta_4)^2(\theta_2 - \theta_3)^2(\theta_2 - \theta_4)^2.$$

This can be done using any standard computer algebra package (in particular, we used Sage). Thus $U_1 \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$. The verification that $U_2, U_3 \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ follows similarly. Finally, it is immediate that $U_1^2 = U_3^2 = I_{2\times 2}$ and $U_2^2 = -I_{2\times 2}$. Thus, the group $\langle U_1, U_2\rangle \cong \mathcal{D}_4$ and therefore must equal $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$.

When $\Delta(F) < 0$, we can use the same argument to check that $U_1 \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ in this case as well, which shows that $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F = \langle U_1, -I_{2\times 2}\rangle$ as desired.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.4.** We give an explanation for the syzygy (1.35). For a binary quartic form F with

$$F(x, y) = a_4x^4 + a_3x^3y + a_2x^2y^2 + a_1xy^3 + a_0y^4,$$

it has a degree 6 covariant given by

$$\begin{aligned}F_6(x, y) = {} & (a_3^3 + 8a_4^2a_1 - 4a_4a_3a_2)x^6 + 2(16a_4^2a_0 + 2a_4a_3a_1 - 4a_4a_2^2 + a_3^2a_2)x^5y \\ & + 5(8a_4a_3a_0 + a_3^2a_1 - 4a_4a_2a_1)x^4y^2 + 20(a_3^2a_0 - a_4a_1^2)x^3y^3 \\ & - 5(8a_4a_1a_0 + a_3a_1^2 - 4a_3a_2a_0)x^2y^4 - 2(16a_4a_0^2 + 2a_3a_1a_0 - 4a_2^2a_0 + a_2a_1^2)xy^5 \\ & - (a_1^3 + 8a_3a_0^2 - 4a_2a_1a_0)y^6.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The Hessian covariant $F_4(x, y)$, $F(x, y)$ and $F_6(x, y)$ satisfy a syzygy:

$$F_4^3 - 3I(F)(-4F)^2F_4 + J(F)(-4F)^3 = 27F_6^2. \tag{3.6}$$

Then (3.6) implies that

$$F_6^2(x, y) = \prod_{j=1}^{3} \frac{F_4(x, y) + 4\beta_j F(x, y)}{3}. \tag{3.7}$$

Explicitly factoring $F_6(x, y)$ yields the equation

$$F_6(x, y) = \mathfrak{C}_1(x, y)\mathfrak{C}_2(x, y)\mathfrak{C}_3(x, y),$$

and the syzygy (3.7) gives rise to (1.35).

We use (1.35) to show that the Cremona covariant $\mathfrak{C}_i(x,y)$ has integer coefficients, up to a multiple of $\sqrt{-1}$, if and only if the corresponding root β_i of the cubic resolvent $\mathcal{Q}_F(x)$ is an integer. Put

$$\mathcal{R}_i(x,y) = \mathfrak{C}_i(x,y)^2 = \frac{1}{3}\left(F_4(x,y) + 4\beta_i F(x,y)\right). \tag{3.8}$$

Then the following lemma holds:

Lemma 3.8. *Let F be a binary quartic form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant $\Delta(F)$, and suppose $\mathcal{R}_i(x,y)$ is given as in (3.8). Then $\mathcal{R}_i(x,y)$ is proportional to a form with integer coefficients over $\mathbb{C}$ if and only if β_i is an integer.*

Proof. If β_i is an integer, then it is clear that $\mathcal{R}_i(x,y)$ has integer coefficients. Note that by the definition of β_i, it follows that β_i is an integer if and only if it is rational. Now suppose that β_i is not rational. Then the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal} F$ acts non-trivially on $\mathcal{R}_i(x,y)$. Indeed, it will send $\mathcal{R}_i(x,y)$ to $\mathcal{R}_j(x,y)$ with $i \neq j$. Suppose that $\mathcal{R}_i(x,y)$ is proportional to a form with integer coefficients, say $\mathfrak{R}_i(x,y)$. Then $\mathcal{R}_i(x,y) = \upsilon_i \mathfrak{R}_i(x,y)$ for some $\upsilon_i \in \mathbb{C}$. It then follows that $\mathcal{R}_j(x,y) = \upsilon_j \mathfrak{R}_i(x,y)$ where υ_j is a conjugate of υ_i under the action of $\operatorname{Gal} F$, so that $\mathcal{R}_i, \mathcal{R}_j$ are proportional. This is because $\operatorname{Gal} F$ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{R}_i(x,y)$ since $\mathfrak{R}_i(x,y)$ has integer coefficients. By (3.8), it follows that

$$\frac{4}{3}(\beta_i - \beta_j)F(x,y) = (\upsilon_i - \upsilon_j)\mathfrak{R}_i(x,y),$$

and the right hand side is the square of a quadratic form over $\mathbb{C}$. This shows that F has zero discriminant, since $\beta_i \neq \beta_j$. This is impossible if $\Delta(F) \neq 0$. Thus, whenever $\mathcal{R}_i(x,y)$ is proportional over $\mathbb{C}$ to an integral quartic form, we have that $\beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

An explicit calculation then yields that when β_i is an integer, then there is a 4-th root of unity ω such that $\omega\mathfrak{C}_i$ is an integral quadratic form. It thus follows that whenever β_i is not an integer, then $U_i \notin \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$. If β_i is an integer. then $|D_i|$ is also an integer. Moreover, the matrix $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{C}_i} = \begin{pmatrix} B_i & 2C_i \\ -2A_i & -B_i \end{pmatrix}$ has integer entries, and thus $U_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|D_i|}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{C}_i}$ is in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ if and only if $|D_i|$ is a square. Theorem 1.4 hence follows from Theorem 3.2.

We note that Cremona and Fisher's work on equivalence of binary quartic forms in [14] allows us to determine $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ completely by examining the bilinear factors of the bihomogeneous form

$$F(x,y)F_4(u,v) - F(u,v)F_4(x,y).$$

However, their notion of an element in $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}} F$ being defined over a given field $\mathbb{F}$ is coarser than ours, and this is important for the present problem. A suitable refinement of Cremona and Fisher's work gives us another way to obtain $\operatorname{Aut} F$.

4. Proof of Theorems 1.2, 1.3, 1.5 and 1.6

By Theorem 1.1, if F is a binary cubic form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant then $\operatorname{Aut} F$ is conjugate to one of $\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{C}_3, \mathbf{D}_1, \mathbf{D}_3$. Similarly, Theorem 1.4 implies that if F is a binary quartic form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant then $\operatorname{Aut} F$ is conjugate to one of $\mathbf{C}_2, \mathbf{C}_4, \mathbf{D}_2$, or $\mathbf{D}_4$. Recall the meaning of the quantities m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m; see Section 1.

To justify Theorems 1.2 and 1.5, we shall need the following lemmas, which are respectively Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 in [35]:

Lemma 4.1. *Let F be a binary form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant. Let A be in* $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$*. Then there exists a unique positive integer a and coprime integers a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 such that*

$$A = \frac{1}{a}\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$a = \det(\Lambda(A)). \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. See Lemma 3.1 in [35]. □

Lemma 4.2 (Lemma 3.2 of [35])**.** *Let F be a binary form with integer coefficients, non-zero discriminant and degree $d = 3, 4$. If T is an element of order 3 in* $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$ *then*

$$\Lambda(T) = \Lambda(T^2). \tag{4.3}$$

If $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$ *is equivalent to* $\mathbf{D}_3$ *or* $\mathbf{D}_4$ *then*

$$\Lambda_i \cap \Lambda_j = \Lambda \text{ for } i \neq j. \tag{4.4}$$

Further $m = \mathrm{lcm}(m_1, m_2, m_3)$ when $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$ *is equivalent to* $\mathbf{D}_4$ *and $m = \mathrm{lcm}(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4)$ when* $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$ *is equivalent to* $\mathbf{D}_3$*.*

Proof. See Lemma 3.2 in [35]. □

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let F be a binary cubic form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant. If F is irreducible and $\Delta(F)$ is not a square, then Theorem 1.1 implies that $\mathrm{Aut}\, F = \mathbf{C}_1$, thus $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $m = 1$. If F is irreducible with square discriminant, then by 1.1 we see that $\Lambda = \Lambda(\mathcal{U}_{q_F})$ and thus the only remaining question is the value of m. Put $\Delta(F) = k^2$. Note that $D = -3k^2$. We then have

$$B\sqrt{-3D} - D = 3k(B+k), B\sqrt{-3D} + D = 3k(B-k), 2C\sqrt{-3D} = 6kC, \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$2A\sqrt{-3D} = 6kA.$$

By Lemma 4.1, it follows that

$$m = \frac{6k^2}{\gcd(3k(B+k), 3k(B-k), 6kC, 6kA}.$$

Note that B and k have the same parity, since $-3k^2 = B^2 - 4AC$. Thus, $6k$ is a common divisor for each of the terms in (4.5). Put

$$t_4 = A, t_3 = (B+k)/2, t_2 = (B-k)/2 \text{ and } t_1 = C.$$

We then obtain

$$m = \frac{k}{\gcd(t_4, t_3, t_2, t_1)}.$$

Let $g = \gcd(A, B, C)$, and let p^s be the highest power of the prime p which divides t_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. It then follows that $p^s | A$ and $p^s | C$, so it suffices to check that $p^s | B$. Observe that

$$(B \pm k)/2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}. \tag{4.6}$$

It then follows that $B \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}$, by summing over the two signs in (4.6).

Conversely, let p^s be the highest power of p which divides $\gcd(A, B, C)$. Suppose that $p \geq 5$. Then p^{2s} divides $-3k^2 = B^2 - 4AC$, whence it follows that p^s divides k, Hence $p^s|(B \pm k)/2$. If $p = 3$ and $s \geq 1$, then $p^{2s}| - 3k^2$ implies that p^{2s-1} divides k^2. But if p^{2s-1} divides a square for some integer $s \geq 1$, then p^{2s} also divides that square. Thus $p^s|k$ and so $p^s|(B \pm k)/2$. Finally, suppose that $p = 2$. If $s = 0$, then $p^s|(B \pm k)/2$. Otherwise, put $A = 2^s a, B = 2^s b, C = 2^s c$. Then

$$-3k^2 = 2^{2s}(b^2 - 4ac).$$

If b is odd, then 2^{2s} exactly divides k^2 and thus 2^s exactly divides k. Put $k = 2^s k'$, where k' is odd. Note that $(B \pm k)/2 = 2^s(b \pm k')/2$, and since b, k' are both odd, it follows that $(b \pm k')/2$ are both integers. Therefore, $2^s|(B \pm k)/2$. This shows that $\gcd(A, B, C) = \gcd(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)$.

Now suppose that $F(x, 1)$ has exactly one rational root θ. Then Theorem 1.1 imply that $\Lambda = \Lambda(\mathcal{T}_\theta)$. Recall that $\mathcal{T}_\theta$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{6\Delta(F)}\mathcal{M}_{J_\theta}\mathcal{M}_{q_F} = \frac{1}{6\Delta(F)}\begin{pmatrix} h_1 & 2h_0 \\ -2h_2 & -h_1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} B & 2C \\ -2A & -B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Each of h_2, h_1, h_0 and A, B, C is an integer, whence

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 \\ t_3 & t_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & 2h_0 \\ -2h_2 & -h_1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} B & 2C \\ -2A & -B \end{pmatrix}$$

has integer entries. Recall that d_θ denotes the greatest common divisor of t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 and $6\Delta(F)$ by definition, and $m_\theta = 6\Delta(F)/d_\theta$. By Lemma 4.1, it follows that $m = m_\theta$.

Finally, when F splits over $\mathbb{Q}$ the corresponding statement in Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.1 and the arguments given in the preceding paragraphs.

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.** Let F be a binary quartic form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant. If the cubic resolvent $\mathcal{Q}_F(x)$ is irreducible, then by Lemma 3.8 it follows that $U_1, U_2, U_3 \notin \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$, and by Theorem 3.2 it follows that $\mathrm{Aut}\, F = \mathbf{C}_2$. It then follows that $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $m = 1$. Similarly, if a root β of $\mathcal{Q}_F(x)$ is an integer but the corresponding Cremona covariant $\mathfrak{C}_\beta$ does not have absolute discriminant a square, we have $U_\beta \notin \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$. Thus $\mathrm{Aut}\, F$ is non-trivial precisely when there is a root β of $\mathcal{Q}_F(x)$ such that $|D_\beta|$ is square.

Suppose that β_i is an integral root of $\mathcal{Q}_F(x)$ and $|D_i|$ is a square, but for $j \neq i$, either β_j is not an integer or $|D_j|$ is not a square. Then $\Lambda = \Lambda(U_i)$ and the fact that $m = m(U_i)$ follows from (1.30) and Lemma 4.1.

If β_i is an integer for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $|D_i|$ is a square for $i = 1, 2, 3$, then the corresponding statement in Theorem 1.5 follows from the preceding paragraph and Lemma 4.2.

4.3. **Proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.6.** We shall need the following lemma, which summarizes the work done on giving explicit expressions for the area A_F when F is a binary cubic or quartic form with real coefficients and non-zero discriminant.

Lemma 4.3. *Let F be a binary form of degree $d = 3, 4$ with real coefficients and non-zero discriminant $\Delta(F)$. Then the quantity $|\Delta(F)|^{\frac{1}{d(d-1)}} A_F$ is invariant under the substitution action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ on F. Moreover, we have*

(1) *If $d = 3$, then*

$$|\Delta(F)|^{\frac{1}{6}} A_F = \begin{cases} \dfrac{3\Gamma(1/3)^2}{\Gamma(2/3)} & \text{if } \Delta(F) > 0, \\ \\ \dfrac{\sqrt{3}\Gamma(1/3)^2}{\Gamma(2/3)} & \text{if } \Delta(F) < 0. \end{cases}$$

(2) *If $d = 4$, then*

$$|\Delta(F)|^{\frac{1}{12}} A_F = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{J}_4(\delta_F) & \text{if } \chi(F) = 4, \\ \mathfrak{J}_2(\delta_F) & \text{if } \chi(F) = 2, \\ \mathfrak{J}_0(\delta_F) & \text{if } \chi(F) = 0 \end{cases}$$

with $\mathfrak{J}_{2j}(\alpha)$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, given by (1.38).

The cubic case of Lemma 4.3 was given by Hooley in [20] and can be established using simple integration techniques. In the special case when F is a bi-quadratic form, Hooley gave the area formula in Lemma 4.3, though he did not formulate it in the above manner. The current formulation and the general result for generic binary quartic forms was given by Bean in [4].

To prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.6, we shall recall the following theorem, which is Theorem 1.1 in [35]. Put

$$\beta_d = \begin{cases} \dfrac{12}{19} & \text{if } d = 3 \\ \\ \dfrac{3}{(d-2)\sqrt{d} + 3} & \text{if } 4 \leq d \leq 8 \\ \\ \dfrac{1}{d-1} & \text{if } d \geq 9. \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

Proposition 4.4 (Theorem 1.1 of [35])**.** *Let F be a binary form with integer coefficients, non-zero discriminant and degree d with $d \geq 3$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists a positive number C_F such that*

$$R_F(Z) = C_F Z^{\frac{2}{d}} + O_{F,\varepsilon}\left(Z^{\beta_d + \varepsilon}\right), \tag{4.8}$$

where β_d is given by (4.7).

For each binary form F with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant, define

$$W_F = \frac{C_F}{A_F}. \tag{4.9}$$

We then recall the following, which is Theorem 1.2 of [35]:

Proposition 4.5 (Theorem 1.2 in [35]). *The positive number W_F is given by the following table:*

Rep(F)	W_F	Rep(F)	W_F
$\mathbf{C}_1$	1	$\mathbf{D}_1$	$1-\frac{1}{2m}$
$\mathbf{C}_2$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\mathbf{D}_2$	$\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{2m}\right)$
$\mathbf{C}_3$	$1-\frac{2}{3m}$	$\mathbf{D}_3$	$1-\frac{1}{2m_1}-\frac{1}{2m_2}-\frac{1}{2m_3}-\frac{2}{3m_4}+\frac{4}{3m}$
$\mathbf{C}_4$	$\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{2m}\right)$	$\mathbf{D}_4$	$\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{2m_1}-\frac{1}{2m_2}-\frac{1}{2m_3}+\frac{3}{4m}\right)$

Here Rep(F) *denotes a representative of the equivalence class of* $\operatorname{Aut} F$ *under* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ *conjugation and* m, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 *are defined in (1.22),(1.23), and (1.36).*

One of the key results needed to prove Proposition 4.4 is to show that most occurrences of $F(x,y) = F(u,v)$ arise from $\operatorname{Aut} F$. This then requires an estimation of rational points of bounded height on the surface X_F given in (1.40) defined by F. Heath-Brown dealt with this problem in great generality in [19] using the so-called determinant method. To obtain the error bound in Proposition 4.4, one needs to apply further refinements of Heath-Brown's determinant method obtained by Salberger in [31] and [32].

We now give a proof of Theorem 1.3, the proof of Theorem 1.6 being similar. Let F be a binary cubic form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant. By Proposition 4.4 and (4.9) we see that

$$R_F(Z) = W_F A_F Z^{\frac{2}{3}} + O_{F,\varepsilon}\left(Z^{\frac{12}{19}+\varepsilon}\right).$$

Suppose that F is irreducible and has non-square discriminant. Then Theorem 1.1 gives that $\operatorname{Aut} F = \mathbf{C}_1$, and thus $W_F = 1$ by Proposition 4.5.

Suppose that F is irreducible and $\Delta(F)$ is a square. Theorem 1.1 then gives that $\operatorname{Aut} F = \{I_{2\times 2}, \mathcal{U}_{q_F}, \mathcal{U}_{q_F}^2\} \cong \mathcal{C}_3$, so Proposition 4.5 yields that $W_F = 1 - \frac{2}{3m}$. Thus Proposition 4.4 and Lemma 4.3 gives the desired conclusion.

Next suppose that $F(x,1)$ has a unique rational root θ. Observe that both $\Delta(F) < 0$ and $\Delta(F) > 0$ are possible. Theorem 1.1 gives that $\operatorname{Aut} F = \{I_{2\times 2}, \mathcal{T}_\theta\} \cong \mathcal{D}_1$, so Proposition 4.5 gives that $W_F = 1 - \frac{1}{2m}$. Proposition 4.4 and Lemma 4.3 gives the desired conclusion.

Finally, suppose that F splits over $\mathbb{Q}$. Plainly then $\Delta(F) > 0$. Proposition 4.5 then yields that

$$W_F = 1 - \frac{1}{2m_1} - \frac{1}{2m_2} - \frac{1}{2m_3} - \frac{2}{3m_4} + \frac{4}{3m}.$$

Moreover, the value for A_F is given by Lemma 4.3. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

5. Cubic and quartic surfaces defined by binary forms and Theorem 1.7

In this section we apply our theorems characterizing the automorphism groups of binary cubic and quartic forms F to study lines on the surface X_F given in (1.40), and to prove Theorem 1.7.

For a binary form F, denote by $\mathcal{Z}(F)$ the set of projective roots of F in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$. Let F_1, F_2 be two binary forms with complex coefficients and non-zero discriminant. Put $\mathcal{G}(F_1, F_2)$ for the set of elements in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ which map $\mathcal{Z}(F_1)$ to $\mathcal{Z}(F_2)$. When $\deg F_1 \neq \deg F_2$, it is obvious that $\mathcal{G}(F_1, F_2)$ is empty. When $\deg F_1 = \deg F_3$, the set $\mathcal{G}(F_1, F_2)$ always consists of six elements since $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is 3-transitive on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$. When $\deg F_1 = \deg F_2$, the cardinality of $\mathcal{G}(F_1, F_2)$ can be $0, 4, 8, 12$. Put

$$\upsilon_{F_1,F_2} = \#\mathcal{G}(F_1, F_2) \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\upsilon_F = \upsilon_{F,F}.$$

Our Theorem 3.2 shows that $\#\mathcal{G}(F, F) \geq 4$ when F is a binary quartic form with non-zero discriminant.

Consider the surface X_{F_1,F_2} defined by

$$F_1(x_1, x_2) - F_2(x_3, x_4) = 0.$$

We then have the following, which is Theorem 3.1 in [9]:

Proposition 5.1 (Theorem 3.1 in [9])**.** *Let F_1, F_2 be two binary forms with non-zero discriminant and equal degree d. Then the number of lines on the surface X_{F_1,F_2} is equal to $d(d + \upsilon_{F_1,F_2})$.*

By Proposition 5.1, the number of lines on a surface X_F with F a binary form with non-zero discriminant is completely determined by the $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-automorphism group of F. To prove Theorem 1.7, however, we shall need the following refinement of Proposition 5.1, which is contained in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [9].

For a positive integer d we denote by η_d to be a primitive d-th root of unity. Moreover, we shall denote a projective point in $\mathbb{P}^3$ by $[x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]$. Let F be a binary form of degree d with complex coefficients and non-zero discriminant. By applying a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ transformation, we may assume that the leading coefficient of F is non-zero.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_d$ denote the roots of $F(x, 1)$. Then all lines on the surface X_F are in exactly one of the following two categories:*

(a) *(Root lines) $L = \{[s\psi_j : s : t\psi_k : t] \in \mathbb{P}^3 : s, t \in \mathbb{C}\}$ for some $1 \leq j, k \leq d$, or*
(b) *(Automorphism lines) There exists an automorphism*

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 \\ t_3 & t_4 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F$$

such that

$$L = \{[u : v : t_1u + t_2v : t_3u + t_4v] \in \mathbb{P}^3 : u, v \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Proof. The proof of Lemma 5.2 follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [9]. The second part of Lemma 5.2 was done by Heath-Brown in [19]. □

5.1. **Cubic surfaces.** We shall state a more precise version of part (a) of Theorem 1.7. For a given binary form F with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant, write K for the field of smallest degree for which all lines contained in the surface X_F defined by (1.40) is defined over K. We put $\mathbb{F}$ for the splitting field of F, and we shall denote by ω a primitive third root of unity. We will prove the following for cubic surfaces X_F defined (1.40) and a binary cubic form $F(x, y)$:

Proposition 5.3. *Let F be a binary cubic form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant. Then:*

1. *If F is irreducible and $\Delta(F)$ is a square, then $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$ and K is obtained by adjoining a root of $F(x, 1)$ and ω.*
2. *If F is irreducible with positive non-square discriminant, then $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 12$ and K is obtained by adjoining all roots of $F(x, 1)$ and ω.*
3. *If F is irreducible with negative discriminant, then $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$ or 12, depending on whether or not the field $\mathbb{K}$ obtained by adjoining a root of $F(x, 1)$ is a pure cubic field or not. If K is a pure cubic field then $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$ and if K is not a pure cubic field then $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 12$.*
4. *If F has exactly one linear factor over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\Delta(F) > 0$, then $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ and K is obtained by adjoining the roots of $F(x, 1)$ and ω.*
5. *If F has exactly one linear factor over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\Delta(F) < 0$, then $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ or 4 depending on whether the splitting field $\mathbb{F}$ of F contains the third roots of unity. If so, then $K = \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$ and if not, then K is obtained by adjoining the roots of $F(x, 1)$ and ω.*
6. *If F splits completely over $\mathbb{Q}$, then $K = \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.2, the root lines contained in X_F are defined over $\mathbb{F}$. By Theorem 2.1 and (1.18) , the automorphisms $\mathcal{T}_{\theta_1}, \mathcal{T}_{\theta_2}, \mathcal{T}_{\theta_3}$ are defined over $\mathbb{F}$. The Hooley matrix $\mathcal{U}_{q_F}$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}$ also, since it is the product of $\mathcal{T}_{\theta_1}, \mathcal{T}_{\theta_2}$. Thus to define the automorphism lines, one just needs to adjoin a primitive third root of unity to $\mathbb{F}$. This shows that $K = \mathbb{F}(\omega)$ for a primitive third root of unity ω.

Then part (1) follows since if $\Delta(F)$ is a square K is a cyclic extension of degree 3 over $\mathbb{Q}$, and in particular, is a totally real field so it does not contain a primitive third root of unity. Thus $K = \mathbb{F}(\omega)$ is a degree 2 extension over $\mathbb{F}$, whence $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$. Part (2) follows because $\mathbb{F}$ is a degree 6 extension over $\mathbb{Q}$ when $\Delta(F)$ is not a square, and since $\mathbb{F}$ is totally real when $\Delta(F) > 0$, it follows that $\mathbb{F}$ does not contain a primitive third root of unity. Thus $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 12$. For part (3), if $\Delta(F) < 0$ then $F(x, 1)$ has a pair of complex conjugate roots, and $\mathbb{F}$ is a degree 6 extension over $\mathbb{Q}$ which may contain the roots of unity already. Indeed, $\mathbb{F}$ contains the third roots of unity precisely when $\mathbb{F}$ is a pure cubic field, which is equivalent to the assertion that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{m}, \omega)$ for some non-cube integer m. This happens when F can be written in the form

$$F(x, y) = (a_1 x + a_2 y)^3 - m(a_3 x + a_4 y)^3$$

for some integers a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4. In this case, $K = \mathbb{F}(\omega) = \mathbb{F}$ and thus $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$. When $\mathbb{F}$ is not a pure cubic field, the situation is the same as in the real case and $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 12$.

Parts (4) through (6) follow similarly. □

5.2. **Quartic surfaces.** Let F be a binary quartic form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant. By Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 5.1, the surface X_F contains at least $4(4+4) = 32$ lines over $\mathbb{C}$. Unlike the cubic case, however, the number of elements in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F$ is not constant across forms F with non-zero discriminant but rather depends on the invariants I and J. We shall need the following lemma:

Lemma 5.4. *Let F be a binary quartic form with complex coefficients and non-zero discriminant. Then $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F$ contains an element of order 3 if and only if $I(F) = 0$ and contains an element of order 8 if and only if $J(F) = 0$.*

Proof. We begin by showing that the binary quartic form $F_0(x, y) = y(x^3 + y^3)$ has an automorphism of order 3. This is in fact an old result due to Klein [26] (see also [5]), but we shall give a proof here for completeness. First examine the cubic factor $Q(x, y) = x^3 + y^3$. Then Q has an automorphism of order 3, given by the Hooley matrix

$$\mathcal{U}_{q_f} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since multiplying by an automorphism by $\omega I_{2\times 2}$ gives another automorphism, it follows that

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} f.$$

Further, it is plain that T fixes the linear form x under substitution, and thus $T \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F_0$ since it fixes the factors x and $x^3 + y^3$ of F_0. Next, we see that

$$I(F_0) = 12(1)(0) - 3(1)(0) + (0)^2 = 0.$$

We now show that there is just one $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-orbit of binary quartic forms with non-zero discriminant and vanishing I-invariant. Since F_0 is in this orbit, Lemma 2.2 then implies that every such binary quartic form has an order 3 automorphism. It is known classically that every binary quartic form F is $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ equivalent to a form of the shape

$$\mathcal{F}(x, y) = x^4 + ux^2y^2 + y^4, u \in \mathbb{C}; \tag{5.2}$$

see [28] for example. Suppose that F is a binary quartic form such that $I(F) = 0$. Applying a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ transformation T, we obtain a form $\mathcal{F}(x, y) = F_T(x, y)$ and a complex number u such that

$$\mathcal{F}(x, y) = x^4 + ux^2y^2 + y^4.$$

Since $I(\mathcal{F}) = (\det T)^4 I(F) = 0$, it follows that

$$I(\mathcal{F}) = 12 + u^2 = 0.$$

Thus, $u = \pm 2\sqrt{-3}$. Since

$$x^4 + ux^2(\sqrt{-1}y)^2 + (\sqrt{-1}y)^4 = x^4 - ux^2y^2 + y^4,$$

one sees that $x^4 + ux^2y^2 + y^4$ and $x^4 - ux^2y^2 + y^4$ are $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-equivalent; so we may assume that $u = 2\sqrt{-3}$. It then follows that every binary quartic form F with $I(F) = 0$ is $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-equivalent to

$$x^4 + 2\sqrt{-3}x^2y^2 + y^4,$$

whence there is just a single $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-orbit as claimed.

We adopt a similar strategy to treat the case when F has an automorphism of order 8. We first show that the form $F_1(x,y) = x^4 - 6x^2y^2 + y^4$ has an automorphism of order 8. Consider the order 8 rotation in the orthogonal group $O_2(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$U_8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$(F_1)_{U_8} = -x^4 + 6x^2y^2 - y^4,$$

and this implies that for a primitive 8-th root of unity ϖ, the element $\varpi U_8 \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F_1$ and has order 8 in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

It remains to show that there is just a single $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-orbit of binary quartic forms F with $J(F) = 0$. We may assume, as before, that F takes the shape

$$F(x,y) = x^4 + ux^2y^2 + y^4$$

for some $u \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $J(F) = 0$, it follows that

$$0 = J(F) = 2u(6-u)(6+u),$$

whence $u \in \{-6, 0, 6\}$. We have already seen that $x^4 - 6x^2y^2 + y^4$ and $x^4 + 6x^2y^2 + y^4$ are $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-equivalent. To see that $F_2(x,y) = x^4 + y^4$ is equivalent to $x^4 + 6x^2y^2 + y^4$, consider

$$(F_2)_{U_8} = \frac{1}{2}\left(x^4 + 6x^2y^2 + y^4\right).$$

By scaling, we then see that $x^4 + y^4$ and $x^4 + 6x^2y^2 + y^4$ are equivalent. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

The case $I(F) = 0$ was studied by Klein [26], and these forms are called *Klein forms* of degree 4. In this case, it is known that the $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-automorphism group of F is isomorphic to the alternating group $\mathcal{A}_4$. When $J(F) = 0$, the $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-automorphism group of F is isomorphic to the dihedral group $\mathcal{D}_4$. This leads to the following corollary:

Corollary 5.5. *Let $F(x,y)$ be a binary quartic form with complex coefficients and non-zero discriminant. Then*

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F = \langle U_1, U_2, U_3, \sqrt{-1} \cdot I_{2\times 2} \rangle$$

if $I(F) \cdot J(F) \neq 0$.

When $I(F) = 0$, we have

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})} F \cong \mathcal{A}_4$$

and when $J(F) = 0$ we have

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})} F \cong \mathcal{D}_4.$$

Proof. The case when $I(F), J(F) = 0$ are due to work of Klein and Segre in [26] and [33] respectively, so we shall suppose that $I(F)J(F) \neq 0$. If F has non-zero discriminant, then U_1, U_2, U_3 are pairwise non-proportional over $\mathbb{C}$, since U_i and U_j are proportional precisely when the corresponding roots of the cubic resolvent β_i and β_j are equal. The latter implies that $\Delta(\mathcal{Q}_F) = 0$, which then implies that $\Delta(F) = 0$ since $\Delta(\mathcal{Q}_F) = 729\Delta(F)$. Moreover, since $I(F)J(F) \neq 0$ it follows that $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})} F \cong \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2$, and a set of representatives is given by $\{I_{2\times 2}, U_1, U_2, U_3\}$. From here it follows that $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}} F = \langle U_1, U_2, U_3, \sqrt{-1} \cdot I_{2\times 2} \rangle$, as desired. □

Next we shall prove the following proposition, which implies part (b) of Theorem 1.7. Recall that $\mathbb{F}$ is the splitting field of F and K is the smallest extension over $\mathbb{Q}$ for which all lines on X_F are defined. Put σ_F for the number of lines contained in the surface X_F given in (1.40).

Proposition 5.6. *Let F be a binary quartic form with integer coefficients and non-zero discriminant.*

(1) *If $I(F), J(F)$ are both non-zero, then $\sigma_F = 32$. Moreover, $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 48$ with equality holding whenever $\operatorname{Gal} F \cong \mathcal{S}_4$ and $\Delta(F)$ is not the negative of a square integer.*
(2) *If $I(F) = 0$, then $\sigma_F = 64$.*
(3) *If $J(F) = 0$, then $\sigma_F = 48$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 5.4, it follows that when $I(F) \cdot J(F) \neq 0$ then $\sigma_F = 32$. If $I(F)$ vanishes but $J(F)$ does not, then Corollary 5.5 gives that $\upsilon_F = 12$ and thus $\sigma_F = 4(4 + 12) = 64$. If $J(F) = 0$ and $I(F) \neq 0$, then Corollary 5.5 yields that $\sigma_F = 48$. Note that for a binary quartic form F, the discriminant $\Delta(F)$ is given by the syzygy $\Delta(F) = (4I(F)^3 - J(F)^2)/27$, so if $I(F) = J(F) = 0$, then F has vanishing discriminant. In fact, the only form F for which $I(F) = J(F) = 0$ is the 0-form.

We now prove part (1) of the proposition. The treatment of the root lines is the same as the cubic case, and it is clear that the root lines are defined over $\mathbb{F}$. For the automorphism lines, the lines corresponding to $\pm U_1, \pm U_2, \pm U_3, \pm I_{2\times 2}$ are defined over $\mathbb{F}$ by (1.25). The remaining automorphism lines are defined after adjoining $\sqrt{-1}$ to $\mathbb{F}$, whence $K = \mathbb{F}(\sqrt{-1})$. Moreover $\mathbb{F}$ is at most a degree 24 extension over $\mathbb{Q}$, thus $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 48$. Observe that equality holds only when $[\mathbb{F} : \mathbb{Q}] = 24$, which implies that $\operatorname{Gal} F \cong \mathcal{S}_4$, and that $\mathbb{F}(\sqrt{-1}) \neq \mathbb{F}$. This condition is equivalent to $\sqrt{-1} \notin \mathbb{F}$, and an elementary exercise in Galois theory yields that this happens if and only if $\Delta(F)$ is not the negative of a square integer. □

References

[1] S. Akhtari, *Upper bounds for the number of solutions to quartic Thue equations* International Journal of Number Theory, (2) **8** (2012), 335-360.

[2] S. Akhtari, *Representation of small integers by binary forms*, Q. J. Math., **66** (2015), 1009-1054.

[3] M. A. Bean, *An isoperimetric inequality for the area of plane regions defined by binary forms*, Compositio Mathematica, (2) **92** (1994), 115-131.

[4] M. A. Bean, *The practical computation of areas associated with binary quartic forms*, Mathematics of Computation, (66) **219** (1997), 1269-1293.

[5] M. A. Bennett, S. R. Dahmen, *Klein forms and the generalized superelliptic equation*, Annals of Mathematics (1) **177** (2013), 177-239.

[6] M. Bhargava, A. Shankar, *Binary quartic forms having bounded invariants, and the boundedness of the average rank of elliptic curves*, Annals of Mathematics **181** (2015), 191-242.

[7] M. Bhargava, A. Yang, *On the number of binary n-ic forms with bounded Julia invariant*, arXiv:1312.7339 [math.NT], `http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.7339`

[8] E. Bombieri, W. Schmidt, *On Thue's equation*, Invent. Math. **88** (1987), 69-81.

[9] S. Boissire, A. Sarti, *Counting lines on surfaces*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **6** (2007), 39-52.

[10] T. D. Browning, D. R. Heath-Brown, P. Salberger, *Counting rational points on algebraic varieties*, Duke. Math. J., (3) **132** (2006), 545-578.

[11] P. F. Byrd, M. D. Friedman, *Handbook of Elliptic Integrals for Engineers and Scientists*, Second Edition, Revised, Springer-Verlag 1971.

[12] K. Conrad, *Galois groups of cubics and quartics (not in characteristic 2)* `http://www.math.uconn.edu/~kconrad/blurbs/galoistheory/cubicquartic.pdf`, retrieved 30 Oct 2015.

[13] J. E. Cremona, *Reduction of binary cubic and quartic forms*, LMS J. Comput. Math. **2** (1999), 64-94.

[14] J. E. Cremona, T. A. Fisher, *On the equivalence of binary quartics*, Journal of Symbolic Computation, **44** (2009), 673–682

[15] B. N. Delone, D. K. Faddeev, *The Theory of Irrationalities of the Third Degree*, Transl. Math. Monogr. 10, Amer. Math. Soc., 1964.

[16] T. Ekedahl, *An effective version of Hilbert's irreducibility theorem*, Seminaire de Theorie des Nombres, Paris 1988-1989, 241-249, Progr. Math., 91, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

[17] P. Erdős, K. Mahler, *On the number of integers which can be represented by a binary form*, J. London Math. Soc. **13** (1938), 134-139.

[18] N. I. Feldman, *An Effective Refinement of the Exponent in Liouville's Theorem*, Izvestiya: Mathematics, (5) **5** (1971), 985-1002.

[19] D. R. Heath-Brown, *The density of rational points on curves and surfaces*, Annals of Mathematics (2) **155** (2002), 553-598.

[20] C. Hooley, *On binary cubic forms*, J. reine angew. Math. **226** (1967), 30-87.

[21] C. Hooley, *On the numbers that are representable as the sum of two cubes*, J. reine angew. Math. **314** (1980), 146-173.

[22] C. Hooley, *On binary quartic forms*, J. reine angew. Math. **366** (1986), 32-52.

[23] C. Hooley, *On binary cubic forms: II*, J. reine angew. Math. **521** (2000), 185-240.

[24] S. Janson, *Invariants of polynomials and binary forms*, arXiv:1102.3568 [math.HO], `http://arxiv.org/abs/1102.3568`

[25] G. Julia, *Etude sur les formes binaires non quadratiques a indeterminees reelles ou complexes*, Mem. Acad. Scin. l'Inst. France **55** (1917), 1-293.

[26] F. Klein, *Lectures on the Icosahedron and the Solution of Equations of the Fifth Degree*, Dover, New York, 1956 (revised edition).

[27] J. Kuzmanovich, A. Pavlichenkov, *Finite Groups of Matrices Whose Entries Are Integers*, Amer. Math. Monthly (2) **109**, (2002), 173-186.

[28] P. J. Olver, *Classical Invariant Theory*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts **44**, Cambridge University Press (1999).

[29] K. Mahler, *Zur Approximation algebraischer Zahlen. I. (Uber den grossten Primteiler binarer Formen)*, Math. Ann. **107** (1933), 691-730.
[30] P. Salberger, *On the density of rational and integral points on algebraic varieties*, J. reine angew. Math. **606** (2007), 123-147.
[31] P. Salberger, *Counting rational points on projective varieties*, Preprint 2009.
[32] P. Salberger, *Uniform bounds for rational points on cubic hypersurfaces*, Preprint 2015.
[33] B. Segre, *On arithmetical properties of quartic surfaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **49** (1946), 353-395.
[34] C. L. Stewart, *On the number of solutions to polynomial congruences and Thue equations*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society, (4) **4** (1991), 793-835.
[35] C. L. Stewart, S. Y. Xiao, *On the representation of integers by binary forms*, arXiv:1605.03427 [math.NT] `http://arxiv.org/abs/1605.03427`
[36] A. Thue, *Uber Annaherungswerte algebraischer Zahlen.* J. reine angew. Math, **135** (1909), 284-305.
[37] J. L. Thunder, *Decomposable form inequalities*, Annals of Mathematics (3) **153** (2001), 767-804.
[38] T. Wooley, *Sums of two cubes*, Int. Res. Notices. **4** (1995), 181-185.

University of Waterloo, Dept. of Pure Mathematics, Waterloo, ON, N2L 3G1, Canada
E-mail address: `y28xiao@uwaterloo.ca`